

GLOBAL FOOD ROUND

Distribution Organization Verification & Evidence Standard

Recipient-side controls so supplier delivery is not treated as proof of final distribution.

Status notice. Global Food Round is currently an informational prototype and verification-stage project. Public payments and donations are not accepted through the prototype. This document sets intended controls and launch conditions; it does not state that any supplier, distribution organization, fiscal sponsor, charitable status, tax treatment, license, insurance arrangement, public-fundraising permission, sanctions clearance, or other regulatory approval has been approved unless expressly identified as such.

1. Candidate verification

- Confirm organizational identity and lawful operating basis where applicable in the relevant jurisdiction.
- Confirm official contact details independently and verify the receiving location and practical ability to receive, handle and distribute the proposed food.
- Record material conflicts of interest and prevent conflicted reviewers from independently approving the candidate.

2. Staged status

- Use distinct states such as Candidate, Cross-Checked, Operationally Verified and Pilot Documented only when the evidence supporting that state exists.
- Organization verification refers to identity, contact, operational capacity and documentary checks. It does not mean independent verification of beneficiary counts or distribution-impact figures.
- Final public Verified status requires a retained Verification Decision Record identifying reviewer, public-status approver, approval date, private evidence references, criteria and standard version. Public evidence requires separate publication-rights approval.

3. Receipt confirmation

- Record delivery date, order/shipment reference, receiving location and quantities received.
- Record shortages, damage, rejected goods and unexplained variance.
- Keep recipient-side acknowledgment separate from supplier-side proof where practicable.

4. Distribution and end-use reporting

- Report quantities distributed, timing, distribution method and relevant remaining inventory.
- For Pilot #001, receipt of recipient-side distribution reporting is described as "Distribution Report Received" or recipient-reported distribution impact. It is not independent verification by Global Food Round unless a separate independent-verification standard has actually been met.
- Higher-risk environments should receive proportionately stronger due diligence and monitoring, while avoiding unsafe demands for evidence.

5. Beneficiary privacy, dignity and safeguarding

- Do not require publication of beneficiary names, IDs, exact home addresses, health information, financial details, political/religious information, or identifiable images merely to prove aid delivery.
- Prefer aggregated counts, redaction, controlled-access evidence and other methods that prove the operational fact with less privacy or security risk.
- Do not condition essential aid on consent to publicity or promotional photography.

6. No custody or authority by research volunteers

Research volunteers do not receive food, cash, donation funds, bank credentials, private beneficiary datasets, or authority to promise funding merely by serving as researchers. Use of a distribution organization name for research, factual identification or evidence does not by itself create partnership, agency, sponsorship, affiliation, endorsement or authority.

Document control: Version 2.4 - 8 September 2026. Contact: amirworlddevelop@gmail.com

This policy is a project compliance document for an early-stage prototype. It is not a representation of universal legal compliance and is not a substitute for jurisdiction-specific advice from qualified counsel on an actual person, transaction, fundraising campaign, data-processing activity, volunteer relationship, publication decision, or operational route.

Global Food Round - Prototype compliance document